

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/820,021 | 04/08/2004 | Hakaru Matsui | PHCF-00189DIV | 7562 |
| 21254 7590 11/16/2007 MCGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | IP, SIKYIN | |
| SUITE 200 VIENNA, VA 22182-3817 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| vicini, vi | 22102 3017 | | 1793 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/16/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/820,021 | MATSUI ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Sikyin Ip | 1793 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply | opears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTS IN LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY OF THE MAILING IDENTI | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to divil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON | N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 / | <u> April 2007</u> | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 153 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 12-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 12-14 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | awn from consideration. | · | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11. | cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is of | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | tion No ved in this National Stage | | | |
| | | | | | |
| . Address and (a) | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summar | v (PTO-413) | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/20/04</u>. | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | Date | | | |

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 10/820,021

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2000169918 (PTO-1449) in view of JP 54067297.

JP 2000169918 discloses the features including the claimed copper alloy wire composition (abstract), diameter ([0002]), and step of forming said wire by drawing (abstract). JP 2000169918 does not disclose the casting atmosphere. However, JP

Application/Control Number: 10/820,021

Art Unit: 1793

54067297 in abstract discloses conventional steps of forming copper alloy products including casting alloy in inert or reducing gas and drawn into the final products in the same field of endeavor or the analogous metallurgical art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art of the cited references at the time the invention was made to cast alloy in inert/reducing gas as taught by JP 54067297 to prevent oxidation of the molten alloy.

With respect to the purities of the starting elements that instant composition has an open composition which could include unrecited ingredients in major amounts.

Moreover, it is well settled that the difference in degree of purity itself does not predicate invention. In re Merz, 38 USPQ 143 and In re King et al, 43 USPQ 400.

Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2000169918 (PTO-1449) in view of JP 54067297 as applied to claim 12 above, and further in view of JP 57070244 (PTO-1449).

JP 2000169918 and JP 54067297 disclose the features substantially as claimed as set forth in the rejection above except for Mg and In alloying elements. However, JP 57070244 teaches said elements are known optional elements for wires to improve corrosion in the same field of endeavor or the analogous metallurgical art. It has been held that combining known ingredient having known functions, to provide a composition having the additive effect of each of the known functions is within realm of performance of ordinary skill artisan. In re Castner, 186 USPQ 213 (217). The use of conventional materials to perform their known functions in a conventional process is obvious. In re Raner, 134 USPQ 343 (CCPA 1962).

Conclusion

Art Unit: 1793

Applicant is reminded that when amendment and/or revision is required, applicant should therefore specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.121; 37 C.F.R. Part §41.37 (c)(1)(v); MPEP §714.02; and MPEP §2411.01(B).

Examiner Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Ip whose telephone number is (571) 272-1241. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 5:30 A.M. to 2:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Roy V. King, can be reached on (571)-272-1244.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(}

SIKYIN IP PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1742

S. Ip

November 13, 2007